CASE STUDY

Hyderabad: Premium Residential Pricing and Positioning

A qualitative immersion and a choice-based conjoint, run in sequence, to set the features, positioning and price of a premium gated high-rise with no local comparable.

614	budget-vetted, high-intent buyers in the quantitative phase
10	in-home immersions with buyer households
6	attributes traded off against three price levels
4	buyer cohorts read separately throughout

February – March 2026

Real estate strategy · Locality insight · Market intelligence

Foreword & Context

The challenge presented to us was straightforward in premise but incredibly high-stakes in execution: determine the core feature set, the brand positioning, and the exact pricing architecture for a premium gated high-rise in central Hyderabad across 3 BHK and 4 BHK configurations.

The critical complication was the absolute lack of local comparables. The branded gated format was ubiquitous and well-understood in Hyderabad's western suburbs (like HITEC City), but central Hyderabad's supply was entirely dominated by small, standalone local-builder stock. Because the project would be creating its own market reference point from scratch, every single decision required bulletproof evidence.

We designed a rigorous dual-phase study: a deep qualitative immersion directly inside buyer homes, followed by a massive choice-based conjoint analysis forcing every feature and story to survive against a hard price tag.

The Mandate

The developer required three definitive, interlinked outputs prior to committing to launch:

01 A highly defensible price corridor for both configurations that balanced velocity with revenue.

02 A positioning narrative chosen based on measured financial demand, not just focus group 'likes'.

03 A strict feature list where every inclusion (like Italian marble or premium amenities) proved it could yield a financial return.

To our knowledge, no residential project in this specific micro-market had ever been priced using this level of mathematical rigor built on top of grounded, localized qualitative truths.

Methodological Framework

The architecture seamlessly blended deep qualitative nuance with hard quantitative scale:

01 Phase 1 (Qualitative)

10 extensive in-home interviews with affluent, high-intent buyer households actively hunting for this exact profile. The sample was split evenly between 3 BHK/4 BHK seekers and between salaried professionals and business owners.

02 Phase 2 (Quantitative)

A massive choice-based conjoint analysis involving 614 active searchers. We stringently screened for active searchers buying within 18 months, heavily budget-vetted (1.8 Cr+ for 3 BHK, 2.4 Cr+ for 4 BHK), filtering out speculators and window-shoppers entirely.

03 Instruments

Alongside the core conjoint (testing 6 attributes across 3 price levels), we deployed a Van Westendorp Price Sensitivity Meter and direct consideration anchoring.

04 Geography

Strictly limited to buyers explicitly confirming interest in central Hyderabad and its inner extensions.

Analytical Moves

01 Whole-Household Intelligence

By conducting interviews inside the actual homes of the buyers, we witnessed the real negotiation dynamics. It wasn't an individual choice; it was a household treaty. Spouses vetoed projects over missing balconies or took charge of interior layouts; elders ruthlessly enforced Vastu compliance; children dictated amenity standards based on their friends' buildings. The quantitative data confirmed this: a spouse or partner was present in 97-100% of all household buying decisions.

02 Field-Generated Hypotheses

We did not test arbitrary, boardroom-generated features. The conjoint only tested variables that real families brought up and fought over in Phase 1 (e.g., standard vs. luxury finish, transparent utility packages, three specific positioning stories). This ensured zero statistical power was wasted on irrelevant trade-offs.

03 Strict Cohort Isolation

We strictly prevented the data from flattening into averages. A 35-year-old salaried tech worker upgrading from a 2 BHK behaves completely differently from a 50-year-old business patriarch buying a 4 BHK to consolidate three generations under one roof. Salaried buyers feared high maintenance bills and wanted basic amenities; business families demanded fully managed luxury. Averaging them would have created a phantom buyer and mispriced both.

04 Quantifying the Weight of the Decision

Before generating a price, we proved mathematically what the decision was made of. Price was only the third most important factor (accounting for ~20%). Amenities drove 20-25% of the decision, and the project's positioning story came second. Fascinatingly, the 'gated format' itself only drove 6-12%, proving buyers at this level now expect the gate as a baseline right – the premium must be earned by what stands inside it.

05 Monetizing the Narrative

We treated brand positioning as a product attribute with a price tag. Three stories went in: social company, managed security, and clean air. The 'clean air' narrative scored negatively (viewed as a baseline baseline that doesn't earn a premium), while the 'managed and secure' narrative won across almost all cohorts, proving buyers would pay hard cash for frictionless living.

06 The Price Gap Discovery

We read the gap between the Van Westendorp stated psychological price and the conjoint forced-choice price. Younger business owners stated a preferred price far above the general ceiling – the higher price itself was doing work for them as a mark of exclusivity. We drew our final corridors in this specific space between what buyers declare and what they actually choose.

Strategic Architecture & Outcomes

The client received an extraordinarily precise, mathematically backed launch blueprint:

- **Concrete Price Architecture**

  For each configuration, we delivered four exact pricing routes: pricing for rapid velocity, pricing for maximum margin extraction (above the comfort ceiling), a dual-tier approach, and an anchored early-bird launch. Each route was presented with exact units and rupees left on the table.

- **The Four Buyer Playbooks**

  We delivered highly granular operational profiles (e.g., The Pragmatic Escapist, The Dynasty Architect). Each detailed exactly what they value, how fast they move, and where to reach them (e.g., Instagram for the young, print/hoardings for the older patriarchs).

- **Specification Lock**

  The upsell question was closed. Luxury finishes were moved to baseline specifications across the board, as standard finishes actively scored negative and damaged product preference wherever they appeared.

- **Distinct Financing Strategies**

  We exposed a massive split in wallets. Salaried buyers anchor to 50% LTV private bank loans. Business owners spread across the range, lean heavily on existing public-sector (PSU) relationships, and plan to borrow 40% or less. This provided a direct business case for establishing dual banking partnerships and offering payment plans that do not treat the two wallets as one.